

<b>Subject:</b>	<b>CHIEF JUDGE REPORT, 33<sup>rd</sup> FAI S&amp;A WPC AND 7<sup>th</sup> JUNIOR FAI S&amp;A WPC 2014</b>	<b>Annex No. -</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Author:</b>	<b>Ulf Tingnert, Chief Judge</b>	<b>Agenda ref. -</b>	<b>13.2.1</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>15 Sept. 2014</b>	<b>Page -</b>	<b>1 of 3</b>



## CHIEF JUDGE'S STANDARD REPORT

<b>Competition:</b>	33 <sup>rd</sup> FAI World Freefall Style & Accuracy Landing Championships 7 <sup>th</sup> FAI Junior World Freefall Style & Accuracy Landing Championships												
<b>Event:</b>													
<b>Place:</b>	Banja Luka, Bosnia & Herzegovina												
<b>Date:</b>	16 – 23 <sup>rd</sup> of August 2014												
<b>Panel of Judges:</b>	<p><b>CHIEF JUDGE</b> - Ulf Tingnert  <b>EVENT JUDGE, STYLE</b> - Michel Jara  <b>EVENT JUDGE, ACCURACY</b> - Mariusz Puchala  <b>JUDGES</b> -</p> <table> <tr> <td>Reijo Aittomaki</td><td>Bruna De Paoli</td></tr> <tr> <td>Yuko Handa</td><td>Marco Cursio</td></tr> <tr> <td>Dean Brook-Rerecich</td><td>Bob King</td></tr> <tr> <td>Sabine Kuchner</td><td>Jana Mateijkinova</td></tr> <tr> <td>Janez Pfajfar</td><td>Siv Rönne</td></tr> <tr> <td>Darko Svetina</td><td>Gyula Varnagy</td></tr> </table>	Reijo Aittomaki	Bruna De Paoli	Yuko Handa	Marco Cursio	Dean Brook-Rerecich	Bob King	Sabine Kuchner	Jana Mateijkinova	Janez Pfajfar	Siv Rönne	Darko Svetina	Gyula Varnagy
Reijo Aittomaki	Bruna De Paoli												
Yuko Handa	Marco Cursio												
Dean Brook-Rerecich	Bob King												
Sabine Kuchner	Jana Mateijkinova												
Janez Pfajfar	Siv Rönne												
Darko Svetina	Gyula Varnagy												
<b>Equipment Used</b>	Drago Buncic`s Weckbecker system for Accuracy and his Style Camera. For style judging Weckbecker system (Jean-Claude Helguers)												
<b>Details of the Judges Work:</b> <i>(including judging statistics)</i>	<p><b>ACCURACY:</b> All Accuracy Judges where physically active working at the target. They took correct position, communicate with each other the way we have done for years in FAI, which means that the 3 judges at the target communicate what they have seen with eye-contact and if necessary a short talk to each other if there is a split decision or question and then announce the result. After the Jury decision about “indicate” the judges also used the “thumb signals”.</p> <p>The EJ had full control of the work at the target. The equipment worked without any problems at all. No blocked big-pad, no late reset and no “lost” score. The technicians, Drago Buncic and Jean Claude Helguers, also made a perfect work.</p> <p><b>STYLE:</b> Work at camera was done by Drago Buncic, perfectly. The style judging was controlled by the EJ, also perfect. There were a lot of work done, many jumps in one day, still the scores were given in a fair way throughout the competition.</p> <p><b>1090 acc. jumps and 311 style jumps where judged</b></p>												

<b>Subject:</b>	<b>CHIEF JUDGE REPORT, 33<sup>rd</sup> FAI S&amp;A WPC AND 7<sup>th</sup> JUNIOR FAI S&amp;A WPC 2014</b>	<b>Annex No. -</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Author:</b>	<b>Ulf Tingnert, Chief Judge</b>	<b>Agenda ref. -</b>	<b>13.2.1</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>15 Sept. 2014</b>	<b>Page -</b>	<b>2 of 3</b>

<b>Recommendations for Organisers:</b>	<p>There is a need of a working scoring section from start.</p> <p>Small monitors for style judging, one for each Judge.</p> <p>Communication from manifest to style camera.</p> <p>Bigger organisation (here only 2 persons and some helpers).</p>
<b>Rule Changes Proposals:</b>	<p>Clarify 6.1.1.1 - remove “indicate”</p> <p>Stipulate in the rules that it has to be 5 monitors, one for each Judge, in style.</p> <p>Junior Style: 3<sup>rd</sup> round free program.</p> <p>Discuss if it is possible with 2 “new” Judges every FCE 6.6.3</p> <p>Discuss the re-imburement for travelling within a Continental region. Raise 500 Euro to 700 Euro.</p>
<b>Personal remarks:</b>	<p>The Organisation here was very small. The chosen Meet Director was not able to attend. Zlatan from the Organising Committee took this position. The Organiser, people in charge, had several responsibilities. (In fact there were only 2 people and some helpers)</p> <p>There were no scoring section at all but we managed to build one with help from Judges, especially Mr. Bob King, the speaker Mr Zoran Racic-Raca and 2 young helpers from the organisation. A lot of work and time were spent for this but it worked.</p> <p>The target was built up on tires and placed on low lands. Rain and water from the ground flooded the area and we had to move the target closer to the tents and higher up. After that it worked perfectly.</p> <p>There were no communication between manifest and style-camera for load sheets. We arranged that with a young helper and a mobile phone. She checked the loading and then made a call to the style-camera area.</p> <p>Official info board at drop zoon worked partially. At the Hotel no info.</p> <p>No daily team-leader meetings. (There was only one and I called for that meeting).</p> <p>No plan for giving the start numbers, which is normally done at the first team leader meeting. Judges arranged so that we could do that the next day.</p> <p>Bus transportation worked very well. There was no need for a “Judges-bus”.</p> <p>Hotel was fine and food was good.</p> <p>The Jury extended the competition time but the prize-giving was left at planned time, which made it very difficult to produce and control all results, in less than 2 hours, before prize-giving.</p> <p>A protest was presented from the S&amp;A Committee member, Jiri Blaska, signed “parachutist”, regarding 6.1.1.1</p> <p>The protest was handed in, not after 1-2 teams, but later, when all teams results were known.</p> <p>During the hearing of the Jury, I and my EJ Mariusz Puchala, all the time we referred to what have been done for several years in IPC/FAI. Same</p>

65th FAI / IPC PLENARY MEETING, VARSHETS, BULGARIA, 31 JANUARY – 01 FEBRUARY 2015			
<b>Subject:</b>	<b>CHIEF JUDGE REPORT, 33<sup>rd</sup> FAI S&amp;A WPC AND 7<sup>th</sup> JUNIOR FAI S&amp;A WPC 2014</b>	<b>Annex No. -</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Author:</b>	<b>Ulf Tingnert, Chief Judge</b>	<b>Agenda ref. -</b>	<b>13.2.1</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>15 Sept. 2014</b>	<b>Page -</b>	<b>3 of 3</b>

	<p>procedure as we have done in Dubai, Mondial 2012, and the thousands of accuracy jumps that has been scored in the World Cup Series and Paraski. We never mentioned CISM because there was no reason to do that in an IPC/FAI competition.</p> <p>The discussion within the Judges' team, about the cancelling of the 1<sup>st</sup> round was only based on the IPC/FAI-rules. (Particularly SC sect. 5 5.5.3.1 (1) , 5.3.1. (5) and comp. rules 6.1.1.1 ). We found it hard to understand the cancelling of the round. All jumps in the first round were judged fairly, conditions for judging were easy, the Equipment worked without technical problems, no blocked big-pad, no late reset, no missed result and all scores were signed by the Team Captain without any complaints at all, therefore, in my opinion all results are valid.</p> <p>The Judges' work was also in line with the IPC/FAI recognised Judges training "Judging Accuracy Landing" written by Kirk Knight and supplemented and managed by Karla Cole, the IPC Judge Training Course Co-ordinator. (This online training document was shown to me after the competition). It states that if there is no issue with the landing, the judges make NO signals. We always communicate our decision the way I described above.</p> <p>The Judges' opinion is, that if we should change our way of judging accuracy, which has been develop for many, many years and turned out to be the best way, it should be discussed in the S&amp;A committee, not at an ongoing WPC after a protest signed by "parachutist". Therefore we wrote a letter to the IPC president and the head of the S&amp;A committee.</p>
<p><i>According to Sporting Code Section 5, 6.9.1 (11) this is to be sent to the Chair of the Judges Committee and the IPC Technical Secretary within 30 days of the competition completion.</i></p>	

<b>Name/Signature:</b>	Ulf Tingnert
<b>Date:</b>	2014-09-15